2025 Electrical License Renewal Part III Calculations Based on 2020 NFPA -70

Robert Boender

1

Find the minimum galvanized rigid steel (GRS) conduit size for 3-#10 (THHN). To calculate the minimum conduit size, we add up the cross-sectional areas of the conductors from Table 7. We are dealing with THHN conductors, therefore: (3) * 0.0211 in 2 = 0.0633 in

Since we have three conductors, we can use up to 40% of the conduit fill, as shown in Table 1. We could either look at the 40% fill column in Table 4 for the smallest value greater than 0.0633 in2, or calculate the 100% fill value by dividing 0.0633 in2 by 40%, such as: 0.0633 in2 / 0.4 = 0.15825 in2, which is the minimum 100% conduit fill value. Using 0.15825 in2, we look at Table 4 in the 100% column and see that we could us a $\frac{1}{2}$ " conduit. Many construction specifications, however, limit the smallest conduit size to $\frac{3}{4}$ ". If that were the case, we would have to choose $\frac{3}{4}$ " conduit to match the construction specification, even though $\frac{1}{2}$ " meets the NEC requirement for conduit fill.

Find the minimum galvanized rigid steel (GRS) conduit size for 3-#10 (THHN). To calculate the minimum conduit size, we add up the cross-sectional areas of the conductors from Table 7. We are dealing with THHN conductors, therefore: (3) * 0.0211 in2 = 0.0633 in

Since we have three conductors, we can use up to 40% of the conduit fill, as shown in Table 1. We could either look at the 40% fill column in Table 4 for the smallest value greater than 0.0633 in2, or calculate the 100% fill value by dividing 0.0633 in2 by 40%, such as: 0.0633 in2 / 0.4 = 0.15825 in2, which is the minimum 100% conduit fill value. Using 0.15825 in2, we look at Table 4 in the 100% column and see that we could us a $\frac{1}{2}$ " conduit. Many construction specifications, however, limit the smallest conduit size to $\frac{3}{4}$ ". If that were the case, we would have to choose $\frac{3}{4}$ " conduit to match the construction specification, even though $\frac{1}{2}$ " meets the NEC requirement for conduit fill.

Find the minimum Schedule 80 PVC conduit size for 3-500 KCMIL, 1/0 AWG Gnd, all conductors XHHW. Looking at Table 7 for XHHW conductors, we have: (3) * 0.6984 in2 = 2.0952 in2 (1) * 0.1825 in2 = 0.1825 in2 Adding together: 2.2777 in2 Looking at the 40% column of Table 6 for Schedule 80 PVC, we find that we need a minimum conduit size of 3".

Table 5 – Cross-Sectional Area of Individual Conductors 14 AWG RHH Cu With Outer Covering = .0293 X 4 = .1172 12 AWG RHH Cu Without Outer Covering = .0260 X 6 = .1560 Total Square Inches for Conductors = .2732 Table 4 – 40% fill column for "Over 2 wires". .2732 Sq.In. Requires a 1 inch IMC Conduit 40% fill for 1 inch IMC = .384 Sq. In. Answer = 1 Inch IMC

Table 5 – Cross-Sectional Area of Individual Conductors 2/0 AWG THW Cu = .2624 X 3 = .7872 4/0 AWG THHN Cu = .3237 X 4 = 1.2984 Total Square Inches for Conductors = 2.0820Table 4 – 40% fill column for "Over 2 wires". 2.0820 Sq.In. Requires a 2 ½ inch EMT Conduit 40% fill for 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ inch EMT = 2.343 Sq. In. Answer = 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ Inch EMT

Find the minimum Schedule 80 PVC conduit size for 3-500 KCMIL, 1/0 AWG Gnd, all conductors XHHW. Looking at Table 7 for XHHW conductors, we have: (3) * 0.6984 in 2 = $2.0952 \text{ in } 2(1) \times 0.1825 \text{ in } 2 = 0.1825 \text{ in } 2 \text{ Adding together: } 2.2777 \text{ in } 2 \text{ Looking at the } 40\%$ column of Table 6 for Schedule 80 PVC, we find that we need a minimum conduit size of 3".

What size GRS nipple would be required for 6-4/0 AWG XHHW, 2-6 AWG THHN Gnd? (6) * 0.3197 in2 = 1.9182 in2 [XHHW] (2) * 0.0507 in2 = 0.1014 in2 [THHN] Adding together: 2.0196 in2 Looking at the 60% column of Table 4 for Rigid Metal Conduit (RMC), we find that we need a minimum conduit size of 2". Since the conductors are different diameters, there is no need to consider using Note 7.





Now on to Chapter 4,5,6,7,8 and 9 2020 changes!!!!!!!!